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#### Abstract

Chromium tricarbonyl complexation of 2,11-dithia[3.3]metacyclophane (11) holds it in the syn form. Ring contraction using a Stevens rearrangement of the derived mono- or bis(tricarbonylchromium)-complexed sulfonium salts 21 or 39 yields tricarbonylchromium complexed syn-[2.2]metacyclophanes with methylthio bridge substituents. Removal of the chromium with $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$ gives free substituted $\operatorname{syn}-[2.2]$ metacyclophanes, which isomerize to the analogous anti derivatives below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The complexed syn-phane ( $\eta^{6}-s y n-2(a)-10(e)$-bis(methylthio) [2.2]metacyclophane)tricarbonylchromium(0) (22) does not isomerize until about $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, making handling of the complexed phanes easier. Removal of the substituents from 22 to give $\mathbf{3 5}$ could not be achieved directly; however, biscomplexation did allow successful isolation of the parent syn-[2.2] metacyclophane (1) and its mono- and bischromium complexes ( $\mathbf{3 5}$ and 48 , respectively). Preliminary thermodynamic studies indicate that $\Delta H^{*}$ and $\Delta G^{*}{ }_{298}$ for the isomerization of a syn- to an anti-[2.2]metacyclophane are about $17-18$ and $20-21 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, and that chromium tricarbonyl complexation of one of the rings raises these values by about $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of the syn and anti metacyclophanes are compared and discussed relative to the X-ray structures, which are presented for 22 and 28. The first example of a complexed metacyclophanediene 34, ( $\eta^{6}$-anti-[2.2]metacyclophane-1,9-diene)tricarbonylchromium $(0)$, is reported, and interestingly it does not reduce with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ /catalysts.


Of the 12 possible ${ }^{1}$ [ $2_{n}$ ]cyclophanes only syn-[2.2]metacyclophane (1) remained unknown at the start of this work. ${ }^{2}$ Although anti-[2.2]metacyclophane (2) was probably first prepared as early as 1899 by Pellegrin ${ }^{3}$ in a Wurtz coupling of $m$-xylylene dibromide, it was not rediscovered until $1950 .{ }^{4}$ This


A=H
3 A=SMe


2
was also the time that Cram ${ }^{5}$ began his pioneering studies on paracyclophanes. The intervening almost four decades has seen a fluorishing interest in the properties of cyclophanes and has resulted in the nearly simultaneous appearance of two two-volume books concerning their chemistry. ${ }^{6,7}$ It is thus perhaps surprising that such a basic member as 1 would be missing. We thought ${ }^{8}$ in 1970 that we had prepared the bridge -SMe substituted derivative of 1, i.e. 3, and that during attempts to remove the bridge substituents (Raney nickel or $\mathrm{Li} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) the intermediate radical or anions had isomerized, yielding anti products. Since heating a sample of 3 did not cause isomerization, we had no reason at that time to suspect that 1 would readily isomerize to 2. Two intervening events, however, aroused our suspicions that this might be incorrect. In 1973, Boekelheide and Hollins ${ }^{9}$ reported that

[^0]cleavage at room temperature of the $1,3,5$-tris-bridged cyclophane 4 with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ yielded not the syn-cyclophane 5 but the anticyclophane 6. They suggested that isomerization of 5 to 6 is facile.


In 1978, Kamp and Boekelheide ${ }^{10}$ reported that the syn-metacyclophanes with internal methyl groups, $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ all isomerized to the anti-cyclophanes $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{c}$ on melting ( $\sim 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Clearly then there was no reason why 3 should not isomerize. Reinvestigation of 3 has now clearly shown (see below) that no syn-[2,2]metacyclophane with internal hydrogen atoms is known and that if 1 is to be synthesized, a route which would yield it at low temperatures is desired. This paper describes the use of arene chromium tricarbonyl complexes to hold metacyclophanes as syn conformers and hence permit the isolation of 1 .

## Results and Discussion

Stevens Rearrangement of Salt 9, We first reinvestigated ${ }^{\text {8b }}$ the Stevens rearrangement of the bissulfonium salt 9 . Reaction of 9 with potassium tert-butoxide in THF gave a $94 \%$ yield of product

[^1]as a mixture of the five isomers 10a-e, all of which showed the expected $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$peaks at $m / e 301$ in their mass spectra (CI). Three of the isomers, $\mathbf{1 0 a} \mathrm{c}$, proved to be identical ( $\mathrm{mp}, \mathrm{NMR}$ ) with those reported. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ The other two isomers, which were extremely difficult to separate from each other by column chromatography, were assigned by use of $250-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (see Table I for details) to the structures $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 e}$, and as the mixture, they
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c M=absen
$25 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$

$28 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$
are the compound that was erroneously assigned structure 3 previously. ${ }^{86}$ The amounts of the five anti isomers $10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ obtained were $38 \%, 9 \%, 10 \%, 14 \%$, and $15 \%$, respectively. No isomer that could be assigned a syn structure was isolated.
syn-Cyclophane Routes. When the original Stevens rearrangement was carried out in 1968-9, it was not known whether the starting dithiacyclophane was syn or anti (11a and 11b, respectively). It was shown by us ${ }^{11}$ in 1981 to be predominantly


11 a


11b
syn. We therefore needed to take advantage of this, and hold the molecule syn during the Stevens rearrangement, such that isomerization to the anti series did not occur. A further observation led us in the right direction in that, in the synthesis of dithiacyclophanes with internal methyl groups, 12, it has been found
SYN/ANTIRATIO
(11) Anker, W.; Bushnell, G. W.; Mitchell, R. H. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57. 3080-3087.
by Boekelheide, ${ }^{10}$ Vogtle, ${ }^{12}$ and ourselves ${ }^{13}$ that as electronwithdrawing groups are added to the rings the syn/anti ratio of the products increases. This might be because of charge transfer across the rings in the syn isomer, resulting in some stabilization of it with respect to the anti isomer, or because of removal of electron density from the rings, which reduces the ring repulsion in the syn isomer relative to the anti isomer, which again results in relative stabilization of the former.

An electron-withdrawing group that could be readily removed at the end of the sequence was thus desired. A chromium tricarbonyl complexed arene seemed ideal in that the metal strongly withdraws electrons from the ring, and hence on complexation, the dithiacyclophane 11a would not be expected to isomerize to the anti series. Moreover the tricarbonylchromium moiety is easily removed by oxidation, conditions which are not used during the conversion of a thiacyclophane to cyclophane. Finally the possibility of using a biscomplex, such as 13 existed, which because of steric interference between the internal hydrogen and the metal in 14 should be even more difficult to isomerize.
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The Use of Tricarbonylchromium-Complexed Dithiacyclophanes. No tricarbonylchromium complexes of any simple dithiacyclophanes were known previously. We, therefore, have described their preparation and spectral properties elsewhere. ${ }^{14}$ Basically, however, the mono- or biscomplex may be obtained by refluxing the dithiacyclophane with 1.4 or 6 equiv, respectively, of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ in refluxing $n$-butyl ether.

Although the Stevens rearrangement of the salt 9 was used originally, ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ an improvement introduced in 1975 was the Wittig rearrangement of the dithiacyclophane itself. ${ }^{15}$ Thus in order to avoid having to methylate 15 , the Wittig rearrangement was tried first. Reaction of 15 with either $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ or $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NLi}$ (LDA) at 0 or $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by MeI gave, somewhat surprisingly, the bridge-methyl-substituted product 16, rather than the bridgecontracted product 17, Evidently, the tricarbonylchromium


15 R=H
$16 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$

$17 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SMe}$
35 Reabsent
complexation stabilized the intermediate anion sufficiently to stop rearrangement. We have noticed previously that two nitrile groups ${ }^{16}$ as in 18 or a pyridine nucleus ${ }^{17}$ as in 19 can slow the rearrangement below $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Use of the slowed Wittig rearrangement has also been made by Davies ${ }^{18}$ to functionalize next

[^2]to the complexed arene ring in tricarbonylchromium(0) benzyl ethyl sulfide. Surprisingly no bismethylated product 20 was obtained when excess BuLi or LDA was used, but 20 was obtained in $94 \%$ yield when $t$-BuOK was used as the base. The structures
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20
of 16 and 20 were assigned on the basis of their mass and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. We hoped that the Stevens rearrangement would be more successful, with neutralization of charge providing the driving force. Thus 15 was converted to its bis(methylsulfonium) salt 21 by using dimethoxycarbonium tetrafluoroborate, ${ }^{19}$ $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{CHBF}_{4}$, in dichloromethane at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $90 \%$ yield. Rearrangement of the salt 21 was slow with NaH in THF but rapid with $t$-BuOK in THF and gave an $80 \%$ yield of product as a mixture of the two isomers 22 and 23.



$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SMe}$
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
R $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{SMe}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$

These isomers could be separated by column chromatography to yield $71 \%$ of $22, \mathrm{mp} 121-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $9 \%$ of $23, \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{160-161}$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Both gave $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$peaks at $m / e 437$ in their mass spectra (CI), and assignment of 22 as syn and 23 as anti was made on the basis of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (see Table I). anti-[2.2]Metacyclophanes are normally easily recognized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, since the internal hydrogens are strongly shielded, e.g., $\delta 4.25$ in 2 , because of their placement over the $\pi$-cloud of the opposite ring, ${ }^{20}$ This is, however, modified somewhat in a metal-complexed cyclophane, since the metal withdraws electron density from the complexed ring, reducing its power to shield protons from the opposite ring. Thus in 24, H-16 appears at $\delta 5,45$, not as shielded as in the uncomplexed phane, because of the reduced ring current in the complexed ring. On the other hand $\mathrm{H}-8$ appears at $\delta 2.33$, strongly shielded from that in the uncomplexed 2 ; in this case, $\mathrm{H}-8$ feels the normal strong shielding of the opposite uncomplexed ring, and as well the reduced deshielding of its own aromatic ring, caused by the tricarbonylchromium. ${ }^{21}$ In the isomer $23, \mathrm{H}-16$ appears at $\delta 5.95$ and $\mathrm{H}-8$ at $\delta 2.52$, and thus it is clearly an anti isomer. Since 22 would be the first authentic syn-[2.2]metacyclophane with internal hydrogens, we confirmed its structure in two ways. Firstly, an X-ray crystal structure determination was made. Secondly, we heated 22 to determine if it would isomerize to an anti isomer, which would also therefore prove beyond doubt that it was also syn in solution. Indeed, refluxing 22 in ethanol for 3 h quantitatively isomerized it to 25 . The mass spectrum of 25 , with $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 437$, clearly indicated that only an isomerization had taken place. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed 25 to be anti, since the internal hydrogens, $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-16$, now appeared at $\delta 3.44$ and 5,92 , respectively. Since they are both deshielded from those in 24 ( $\delta 2.33$ and 5.45), both -SMe groups

[^3]must be axial, which is consistent with the uncomplexed ring flipping, converting the equatorial $1-\mathrm{SMe}$ in 22 to the axial $1-\mathrm{SMe}$ in 25. Had the complexed ring of 22 flipped to give 26, both -SMe groups would have been equatorial, and sterically $\mathrm{H}-16$ would strongly interfere with the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group,


26
The observed syn to anti ratio of 8;1 for 22;23 in the Stevens rearrangement of 21 is a remarkable improvement over the uncomplexed case which yields no syn at all. The fact that 22 could be isolated and did not isomerize until heated gave us hope that indeed 1 could be obtained.
Tricarbonylchromium arenes can be uncomplexed oxidatively, ${ }^{22}$ and we chose ceric ammonium nitrate ${ }^{23}$ ( Ce (IV)) as the reagent of choice. Indeed reaction of 22 with $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$ in MeCN at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a quantitative yield of the previously obtained $\mathbf{1 0 e}$. Evidently at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, any syn isomer 27 first formed isomerizes to anti isomer 10e. Note that in contrast to 22 , the ring bearing the axial -SMe flips to yield the more stable diequatorial isomer 10e rather than the diaxial isomer 10c. Heating 10 e with $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ in $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ did not return a syn isomer but merely gave the anti isomer 28; while the syn $\rightarrow$ anti isomerization is thus facile, the reverse, even in the presence of chromium, is not.

The low-temperature removal of chromium from 22 was investigated next. Reaction of 22 with $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$ in MeCN at -30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and chromatography of the product at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on silica gel yielded quantitatively the syn-metacyclophane 27 as a white solid. In its 'H NMR spectrum, the internal hydrogens appear at $\delta 7.05$ and 6.76 , confirming the presence of one axial and one equatorial -SMe. The other ring protons appear at $\delta$ 6.93-6.63, consistent only for the syn structure. When a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of 27 was warmed to room temperature, 10e was formed quantitatively, Clearly any chemistry to be attempted on 27 would have to be carried out at low $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ temperatures. To convert 27 into 1, the -SMe groups had to be removed. This might be tried reductively or by elimination first to form the alkene and then hydrogenation of this. This latter route was attempted first: methylation of $\mathbf{2 2}$ as for $\mathbf{1 1}$ gave $86 \%$ of the bis-salt 29 . Reaction of $\mathbf{2 9}$ with $t$-BuOK in dry THF gave only $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ of the monoene $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathrm{mp} 157-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Its structure was established by a molecular

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
30 & M=C r(C O)_{3} \quad R_{1}=S M e \quad R_{2}=H \\
31 & M=a b s e n l \\
33 & M=C r(C O)_{3}
\end{array} \quad R_{1}=H \quad R_{2}=S M e
$$

ion at $m / e 388$ and later by an X-ray structure, Salt 32 (from 23) was also reacted with $t$-BuOK/THF and gave 33. Note that, in the elimination of 29 and 32 , the $-\mathrm{S}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ adjacent to the complexed ring was not eliminated. This was true also with KOH , $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{NaOMe}, n$ - BuLi , and 2,6-di-tert-butylphenoxide in ethereal solvents. Presumeably the carbanion at C-9 in 29 and 32 is just too stable and does not allow carbanion formation at C-10 or at the -SMe, which would permit the elimination to proceed. Such a carbanion might also permit a rapid syn to anti isomer-

[^4]ization by changing the hybridization at the bridge. We thought that presence of a proton source might keep reprotonating the C-9 carbanion and allow the elimination to compete. Indeed, when the solvent was changed from dry THF to a mixture of $1: 1$ THF $/ t$ - BuOH , the bis-elimination did proceed and gave $30 \%$ of the diene 34, mp $138-139^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. Unfortunately, still the anti product was formed, even at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the elimination did not proceed.


34
The red diene 34 is the first example of a complexed metacyclophanediene. Its structure was assigned on the basis of the mass spectrum molecular ion $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$at $m / e 341$ and its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, which showed the internal hydrogens at $\delta 8.48$ (H-16) and $5,69(\mathrm{H}-8), \mathrm{H}-16$ appeared as a triplet, $J=1,0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, which on irradiating collapsed the double doublet at $\delta 6.91$ ( $\mathrm{H}-12,14$ ) to a doublet. The olefinic protons appeared as two doublets at $\delta 6.60(\mathrm{H}-1,10)$ and $6.12(\mathrm{H}-2,9)$. The higher field doublet is assigned to the proton on the complexed ring side ( $\mathrm{H}-2,9$ ), consistent with previous work ${ }^{24}$ that has shown complexation of an arene causes an upfield shift of an adjacent $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ or $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ benzylic proton.

The rapid conformational flip from the syn to anti series that led to 34 , either of the intermediate carbanion or of the diene itself, thus led us to investigate reductive removal of the -SMe substituents. Unfortunately Raney nickel in ethanol requires reflux to remove the -SMe substituents from cyclophanes. ${ }^{8 b}$ Raney nickel in DMSO is reported ${ }^{25}$ to work at room temperature, but failed in the case of 22 , as did sodium amalgam in methanol with $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer ${ }^{26}$ and lithium triethylborohydride (Superhydride). ${ }^{27}$ We thus resorted to $\mathrm{Li} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, even though we anticipated competing Birch reduction of the aromatic rings might be a problem. Reaction of 22 with 7 equiv of Li in liquid ammonia for only 2 min gave a $40 \%$ yield of the isomerized complexed phane 24 and no syn products, even when isolation was carried out at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Clearly since 22 does not isomerize to 25 at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it seems unlikely that 35 would to 24, and therefore we believe that the intermediate, probably a carbanionic species adjacent to the complexed ring, is responsible for the facile isomerization. We thus thought it worthwhile to reduce the uncomplexed phane 27. However, reaction of 27 with either sodium or lithium in liquid ammonia, with workup at 0 or $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gave about $60 \%$ of the hexahydropyrene $36, \mathrm{mp} 196-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The structure of 36 was assigned on the basis of its mass spectrum molecular ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ 210 and its five-line ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (compare $37^{28}$ ).

$36 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}$
$37 \quad A=M e$
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(24) Knox, G. R.; Leppard, D. G.; Pauson, P. L.; Watts, W. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 34, 347-352. Deubzer, B.; Fischer, E. O.; Fritz, H. P.; Kriebitzsch, K. N.: Simmons, H. D., Jr.; White, B. R. Chem. Ber. 1967, 100 , 3084-3096. Muller, J.; Goser, P.; Elian, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 374-375.
(25) Demoute, J. P.; Hainaut, D.; Toromanoff, E. C. R. Sceances Acad. Sci. Ser. C 1973, 277, 49-51.
(26) Trost, B. M.; Arnot, H. C.; Strege, P. E.; Verhoeven, T. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 3477-3478.
(27) Krishnamurthy, S.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 3085-3091. (28) DuVernet, R.; Boekelheide, V. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1974, 71, 2961-2964.
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$40(40 \%): \quad M_{1}=M_{2}=\operatorname{Cr}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right.$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{SMe}$
41(4\%): $M_{1}=M_{2}=\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$

$$
R_{1}=S M e, R_{2}=H
$$

42(Irace): $M_{1}=\operatorname{Cr}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}, M_{2}=\right.$ absenl $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{SMe}$

Since anti-[2.2]metacyclophane (2) on reduction with $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is known ${ }^{88,29}$ to form 38, syn-[2.2]metacyclophane must reduce before isomerization, otherwise 38 should have been formed from it also. The difference in mechanism of reduction of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ is perhaps a reflection of the fact that the internal carbons C-8,16 are closer in $\mathbf{1}(2.662 \AA)$ than in $2(2.689 \AA),{ }^{30}$ which could make internal bond formation easier in syn-1.

Our failure to reduce off the -SMe substituents from the bridges to produce the parent 1 , suggested we would need to completely block isomerization from the syn to the anti series, and thus we decided next to investigate the biscomplexed series,

The Biscomplexed Series. The poorly soluble biscomplex 13 was methylated in refluxing dichloromethane with ( MeO$)_{2} \mathrm{CHBF}_{4}$ as before to give 39, which on Stevens rearrangement using $t$ $\mathrm{BuOK} / \mathrm{THF}$ gave a mixture containing $44 \%$ of the desired biscomplexed syn isomers 40 and 41 (10:1), together with $18 \%$ of the monocomplexed syn-phanes 22 and 42 and $10 \%$ of uncomplexed anti-10e (Scheme I), The syn orientation of the rings in 40 is clear from the chemical shifts of the ring protons at $\delta$ 4.80-5.72, and the fact that oxidative removal of both chromiums yields the previously obtained 27 . The major isomer 40 was remethylated to give $40 \%$ of $\mathbf{4 3}$, which on Hofmann elimination


43


44
using $t$ - BuOK in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{THF}$ (1:1) gave $29 \%$ of the red biscomplexed diene $44, \mathrm{mp} 167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec.

This is the first example of a syn diene with internal hydrogens. Its structure was confirmed by a $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$peak in its Cl mass spectrum at $m / e 477$ and by its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in which the olefinic protons appear as a sharp singlet at $\delta 7.01$ and the internal

[^5]Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Chemical Shifts ( $\delta$ ) of the Ring Protons of the Syn/Anti (s/a) Pairs of Cyclophanes Studied ${ }^{a}$

| compd | s/a | -SMe |  | H-4 | H-5 | H-6 | H-8 | H-12 | H-13 | H, 14 | H-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1- | 9- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | S |  |  | 6.41 | 6.62 | 6.41 | 6.63 | 6.41 | 6.62 | 6.41 | 6.63 |
| 2 | a |  |  | 7.03 | 7.27 | 7.03 | 4.27 | 7.03 | 7.27 | 7.03 | 4.27 |
| 35 | s |  |  | 4.54 | 4.91 | 4.54 | 4.82 | 6.60 | 6.86 | 6.60 | 6.90 |
| 24 | a |  |  | 5.16 | 5.47 | 5.16 | 2.33 | 7.13 | 7.39 | 7.13 | 5.45 |
| 48 | s |  |  | 4.75 | 5.12 | 4.75 | 5.09 | 4.75 | 5.12 | 4.75 | 5.09 |
| 49 | a |  |  | 5.26 | 5.56 | 5.26 | 3.59 | 5.26 | 5.56 | 5.26 | 3.59 |
| 27 | s | eq | ax | $6.44{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $6.61{ }^{6}$ | 6.44 | 7.05 | $6.38{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $6.69{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.93 | 6.76 |
| 10a | a | eq | ax | $7.09{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.28 | $7.17^{\text {c }}$ | 4.87 | $7.22^{\text {c }}$ | 7.41 | 7.66 | 4.41 |
| 10c | a | ax | ax | $7.15{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.30 | $7.21{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5.00 | $7.15{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.30 | $7.21{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5.00 |
| 10e | a | eq | eq | 7.21 | 7.44 | 7.64 | 4.33 | 7.21 | 7.44 | 7.64 | 4.33 |
| 10d | a | eq | eq' | 7.13 | 7.29 | 7.13 | 4.20 | 7.72 | 7.56 | 7.72 | 4.50 |
| 22 | s | eq | ax | 4.68* | 4.68* | 4.68* | 5.50 | 6.58 | 6.94 | 7.15 | 6.91 |
| 23 | a | ax | eq | 5.39 | 5.45 | 6.01 | 2.52 | 7.14 | 7.39 | 7.24 | 5.95 |
| 25 | a | ax | ax | 5.45 | 5.27 | 5.54 | 3.44 | 7.18 | 7.38 | 7.23 | 5.92 |
| 28 | a | eq | eq | 5.28 | 5.42 | 5.96 | 2.35 | 7.24 | 7.49 | 7.66 | 5.41 |

${ }^{a}$ Superscripts indicate which assignments could be reversed; those for $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ were made by comparison to 10c,d,e and for 23 by comparison to 25 and 28. (*) Center of multiplet, 4.64-4.72.
hydrogens as a broad singlet at $\delta 5.99$, with the remaining ring protons at $\delta 5.15-5.04$. Cyclophanenes can normally be easily reduced over platinum, e.g., 45 gives $46 .{ }^{9}$ Surprisingly, however,

45

46

44 could not be reduced with either Pt or Pd as catalysts, even after 24 h . Desulfurization of 40 was thus attempted next. Refluxing 40 with $\mathrm{W}-7$ Raney nickel for 8 h in ethanol returned 40 unchanged! At least our hypothesis that syn-anti isomerization would be slowed was correct. We thus tried the more powerful $\mathrm{Li} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$. The reaction proved to be extremely sensitive to the time that 40 was exposed to the blue solution of solvated electrons. Times over 1 min led to extensive decomplexation and Birch reduction of the rings; however, by quenching with ice after 40 s , a $40 \%$ yield of the biscomplexed mono-SMe derivative 47 could be isolated. The mass spectrum molecular ion at $m / e 527\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$ indicated that only one -SMe had been removed from 40, and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated that the axial -SMe had gone, since a deshielded internal proton no longer remained, but a deshielded external proton (H-14) did, Subsequent treatment of 47 with $\mathrm{Li} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gave decomplexed Birch-reduced products.

$47 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{SMe}$
48 R=H
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However, the fact that an axial -SMe had been cleaved cleanly whereas the equatorial -SMe appeared to be the one that was giving the problems was encouraging since the minor isomer 41 was diaxial. Indeed reduction of 41 with $\mathrm{Li} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ for 20 s gave a $27 \%$ yield of the desired biscomplexed syn-cyclophane 48 , mp $198-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The structure of 48 was assigned from the $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$ peak at $m / e 481$ and its 'H NMR spectrum, where the internal hydrogens appeared as a singlet at $\delta 5.09$, with the external ring hydrogens at $\delta 5.12$ and 4.75. These can be contrasted to the corresponding anti compound 49 in which the internal hydrogens are at $\delta 3.59$ and the external at $\delta 5.56$ and 5.26. Decomplexation of 48 at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$ in MeCN gave quantitatively
syn-[2,2]metacyclophane (1) as a white solid, though on one occasion a small amount of the monocomplexed phane 35 was obtained. The structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3 5}$ were readily confirmed by warming to 0 and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, when clean conversion to the corresponding anti compounds 2 and 24 occurred.

The 'H NMR spectrum of the long a waited 1 showed all its aryl protons shielded above $\delta 7$, with $\mathrm{H}-8,16$ at $\delta 6.63$, $\mathrm{H}-5,13$ at $\delta 6,62$, and $\mathrm{H}-4,6,12,14$ at $\delta 6,41$. The bridge protons appear in two regions 3.20-3.07 (equatorial) and 2.99-2.86 (axial).
Substituted Derivatives of 1. In order to have a substituted derivative of 1 available for comparison in the thermal isomerization studies (below), we synthesized the dimethyl derivative 50 in an analogous manner to 27 as shown in Scheme II, Since 50 and $\mathbf{2 7}$ have the same arrangement of methylthio substituents, they could be compared directly as substitutes for the parent cyclophanes.

## NMR Properties of the Cyclophanes

The proton chemical shifts of the ring protons for several of the syn-anti pairs of the cyclophanes studied are presented in Table I.

Immediately apparent on examining the data for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ and thus comparing a syn- to an anti-metacyclophane is the extent of the upfield shift of the external protons (H-4,5,6,12,13,14), $0.62-0.65 \mathrm{ppm}$. This is the consequence of one aromatic ring shielding the other. It is of the same magnitude as the difference between [2.2]paracyclophane and $p$-xylene, $0.57 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{31}$ but is less than that between 46 and mesitylene, $1.0 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{9}$ Comparison of the monocomplexes 35 and 24 show that this difference is reduced a little for both the uncomplexed rings ( $0.56-0.62 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the complexed rings ( 0.53 ppm ), and this probably reflects the effect of the reduced-ring current of the complexed ring on the opposite uncomplexed ring and of a partial charge transfer from uncomplexed to complexed, which reduces the shielding effect of the uncomplexed ring. For the biscomplexed pair 48/49, the syn/anti difference is reduced further to $0.44-0.51 \mathrm{ppm}$. This trend is followed in the bridge-substituted examples, but complicated by the less clear multiplets. The internal protons are reversed in chemical shift, with $\mathrm{H}-8,16$ of the anti-cyclophane being the most shielded because of protrusion of these protons into the $\pi$-cloud of the opposite ring. Interestingly $\mathrm{H}-8,16$ of 1 are only 0.3 ppm shielded from H-3 of $m$-xylene, which is less than might be expected considering that the two rings are inclined at an angle toward each other (molecular mechanics and X-ray data; see below), and it perhaps reflects a superimposed steric deshielding of the two internal hydrogens. In the substituted cases, an axial bridge -SMe deshields the internal proton of the adjacent ring, while an equatorial -SMe deshields the adjacent external ring proton.

[^6]Table II. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Chemical Shifts ( $\delta$ ) of the Ring Carbons of Several Cyclophanes for Comparison of Syn and Anti Isomers

| carbon | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ -a \\ \text { anti } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \mathrm{e} \\ 1-\mathrm{eq}, 9-\mathrm{eq}^{a} \\ \text { anti } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \mathrm{c} \\ 1-\mathrm{ax}, 9-\mathrm{ax}^{a} \\ \text { anti } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \mathrm{~d} \\ 1-\mathrm{eq}, 10-\mathrm{eq}^{a} \\ \text { anti } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \mathrm{a} \\ 1-\mathrm{eq}, 9-\mathrm{ax}^{a} \\ \text { anti } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 1-\mathrm{eq}, 9-\mathrm{ax}^{a} \\ \text { syn } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-3 | 138.6 | 138.4 | 134.9 | 138.2 | 137.1 | 136.2 |
| C-4 | 125.1 | 127.7 | 127.1 | 126.4 | 127.5 | 127.4 |
| C-5 | 128.6 | 129.7 | 129.1 | 129.8 | 128.5 | 129.1 |
| C-6 | 125.1 | 123.8 | 126.4 | 126.4 | 126.2 | 127.6 |
| C-7 | 138.6 | 138.3 | 134.9 | 138.2 | 134.4 | 134.6 |
| C-8 | 136.3 | 136.7 | 134.8 | 135.8 | 134.1 | 134.5 |
| C-11 | 138.6 | 138.4 | 134.9 | 137.8 | 138.4 | 137.7 |
| C-12 | 125.1 | 127.7 | 127.1 | 124.6 | 128.1 | 128.9 |
| C-13 | 128.6 | 129.7 | 129.1 | 129.9 | 129.2 | 129.3 |
| C-14 | 125.1 | 123.8 | 126.4 | 124.6 | 123.8 | 123.8 |
| C-15 | 138.6 | 138.3 | 134.9 | 137.8 | 138.4 | 137.8 |
| C. 16 | 136.3 | 136.7 | 134.8 | 137.8 | 137.2 | 138.1 |

${ }^{a}-\mathrm{SMe}$.
Scheme II





The complexation shift for $\mathrm{H}-4$ in the syn series $\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{33}\right)$ is 1.87 ppm and is exactly the same as in the anti series $\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{24}\right)$, with that for $\mathrm{H}-5$ being 1.71 and 1.80 ppm , respectively. The carbonyl groups thus orientate themselves primarily over the carbons bearing the bridge carbons and $\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{H}-5,{ }^{14}$ The internal proton H-8 of $\mathbf{2 2}$ is of note, appearing exceptionally downfield at $\delta 5.50$ (compare H-8 of 27 at $\delta 7.05$ and the normal complexation shift of about 1.9 ppm ). This is possibly because deformation of the cyclophane ring causes $\mathrm{C}-8$ and hence $\mathrm{H}-8$ to be bent outward toward the $-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$. The chemical shift range of the internal "aromatic" protons from $\delta 2.33$ to 7.05 in these examples is in our opinion impressive!

Sato ${ }^{32}$ has identified an interesting anomaly in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of anti-[2.2]metacyclophane, in which C-8.16 appear more deshielded at $\delta 136.3$ than would be expected by about 6-7 ppm. The major cause of this downfield shift has been attributed to a decrease in electron density at these carbons because of compression of the p orbitals, which appears to maximize when the two p orbitals are brought together along the same orbital axis.

[^7]


Figure 1. A projection to show the eclipsed nature of the bridges in syn-[2.2]metacyclophanes, relative to the staggered bridges in the anti isomers.

An upfield shift of about 3 ppm occurs for the connected atoms ( $\mathrm{C}-3,7,11,15$ ). Indeed protons $\mathrm{H}-8,16$ are also shifted upfield by about 3 ppm , but this is usually attributed to the ring-current effect of the opposite ring even though part of it may arise by induced polarization. We noted above that the internal protons of syn[2.2]metacyclophane are also somewhat shielded, and although we have not been able to obtain a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the parent 1 , we have of the bridge-substituted derivative 27 , and indeed the $\mathrm{C}-8,16$ resonances are also observed deshielded by about the same amount, indicating that these carbons are also compressed. This is confirmed by X-ray data (see below). The relevant ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are given in Table II, The shifts observed in the symmetrically substituted compounds $10 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ were used to assign the shifts in the equatorial-axial isomer 10a, and also for 27. The resonances for C-8,16 are unambiguous, since their intensities are much greater than for the quaternary carbons close by. A resonance adjacent to an equatorial-SMe, e.g., C-14, is also unambiguous, since it is the most shielded of the aryl carbons. Other carbons with very similar chemical shifts, e.g., C-11,C-15 of 27, may of course be reversed, It is of note that both C-8 and C-16 of 27 are slightly more deshielded than those in 10a, which is not the case in the analogous naphthalenophanes 55 , in which the syn ( $\delta$

127) is less deshielded than the anti ( $\delta 131$ ). ${ }^{33}$ However, the anti isomer's internal carbons are less deshielded than those of 2 , and its internal hydrogens are less shielded than those of 2 as well; thus the distortions in the larger framework of 55 may be more spread out.

Twisting of the Bridge. One of the contributors to the difference in stability between the syn- and anti-metacyclophanes is the fact that in syn-metacyclophane 1 the bridge protons are eclipsed, whereas in anti-metacyclophane 2 they are staggered (Figure 1).

[^8]

Figure 2. An ortep drawing of syn-complex 22.


Figure 3. An ortep drawing of anti-complex 28.
In the anti isomers the coupling constant between the 1,2-diaxial hydrogens should therefore be large, whereas coupling between adjacent (1,2-) diequatorial or equatorial-axial hydrogens should be small. In contrast, in the syn isomers, diaxial and diequatorial couplings should be large and axial-equatorial couplings small, While the anti isomers display the expected coupling constant pattern, the syn isomers do not. The axial-axial and equatori-al-equatorial couplings are smaller than would be expected, and the equatorial-axial couplings are much larger than would be expected, especially that for $J\left(9_{\mathrm{eq}}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right)$. This suggests that the bridges $t$ wist in the syn isomer, with the $9-10$ bridge (bearing the axial -SMe ) twisting more than the $1-2$ bridge (with the equatorial -SMe). This was also found to be the case in the crystal state (below).

## Structural Features of Syn-Complex 22 and Anti-Complex 28

X-ray structure determinations were carried out on the syncomplex 22 and anti-complex 28. ORTEP drawings are given in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The interdeck distance between the centers of the two rings in 22 is $3.19 \AA$, which is only 0.10 $\AA$ longer than that in $[2.2]$ paracyclophane. ${ }^{34}$ The closest distance between the two rings in 22 is the distance between the two internal carbon atoms $\mathrm{C}-8$ and $\mathrm{C}-16$ (ORTEP, C-18,C-28) and is only 2.662 $\AA$, which is shorter by $0.12 \AA$ than the shortest distance between the rings in [2.2] paracyclophane, and almost identical with the $\mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-16$ distance in the anti-[2.2]metacyclophane 28 ( $2.624 \AA$ ) and to 2 itself $(2,633 \AA),{ }^{30}$ The characteristic boat-type deformation of the rings in the anti isomer $2^{306}$ is observed in the case of the syn isomer 22 as well. The "bow" atom C-8 (ORTEP, C-18) is $0.085 \AA$ outside the plane defined by $\mathrm{C}-11,12,14,15$ (ORTEP, C-22,23,25,26). The corresponding value for C-16 (ORTEP, $\mathrm{C}-28$ ) is $0.073 \AA$, and the exterior atoms $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-13$ (ORTEP, $\mathrm{C}-15$ and $\mathrm{C}-24$ ) are about $0.06 \AA$ outside the above planes. The corresponding values for these four atoms in 28 are 0.071, 0.069 , 0.049 , and $0.044 \AA$ and in 2 for C-8 and C-5 are 0.143 and 0.042 $\AA^{30 a}$ The nonplanarity of the complexed ring causes significant variations in the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths (range $2.176-2,343 \AA$ ). Thus while the overall structure of $\mathbf{2 8}$ is very similar to that of $\mathbf{2}$, the

[^9]Table III. Rates of Isomerization and Thermochemical Data for the Isomerization of syn-[2.2]Metacyclophanes to anti-[2.2]Metacyclophanes

|  | $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \rightarrow \mathbf { 1 0 e }}$ | $\mathbf{5 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \rightarrow \mathbf { 2 5 }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| protons monitored | $\mathrm{H}-4,6,12.14$ | $\mathrm{H}-6$ | $\mathrm{H}-6$ | -SMe |
| $T_{1}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 10 | 0 | 10 | 57 |
| $k_{1}\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)[ \pm] \times 10^{4}$ | $21.2[1.0]$ | $1.33[.23]$ | $8.05[.56]$ | $7.32[.19]$ |
| $T_{2}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 20 | 7 | 17 | 67 |
| $k_{2}\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)[ \pm] \times 10^{4}$ | $72.3[3.2]$ | $3.69[.27]$ | $21.53[.20]$ | $20.94[.34]$ |
| $T_{3}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 30 | 34 | 39 | 77 |
| $k_{3}\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)[ \pm] \times 10^{4}$ | $182.4[7.6]$ | $57.0[1.6]$ | $193[10]$ | $54.0[1.3]$ |
| $\Delta H(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})[ \pm]$ | $17.8[1.1]$ | $17.5[0.9]$ | $18.4[1.0]$ | $22.3[0.1]$ |
| $\Delta S\left(\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{K}^{-1}\right.$ | $-7.7[3.8]$ | $-11.6[3.1]$ | $-7.6[3.5]$ | $-5.6[1.2]$ |
| $\left.\quad \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)[ \pm]$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta G(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 20.1 | 21.0 | 20.6 | 23.9 |
| $E_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 18.4 | 18.1 | 18.9 | 22.8 |
| $[\sim \pm 0.4]$ |  |  |  |  |

presence of the $-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group does appear to reduce the out of plane deformations of $\mathrm{C}-8$ and $\mathrm{C}-16$ by a small amount and increase those of C-5 and C-13. Possibly, the -SMe groups also have some effect.

The torsional angles about the two ethano bridges of $\mathbf{2 2}$ were calculated and showed that a twist had indeed occurred on the $\mathrm{C}-9-\mathrm{C}-10$ bridge as predicted from the coupling constant analysis above. Whereas the dihedral angle between $\mathrm{H}-11$ and $\mathrm{H}-27$ in 28 is $3^{\circ}$, that between $\mathrm{H}-17$ and $\mathrm{H}-21_{\text {eq }}$ in $\mathbf{2 2}$ has opened to $35^{\circ}$. The mean planes of the two aromatic rings of 22 are inclined at an angle of $28.8^{\circ}$ to each other, which is greater than in the syn-[3.3]cyclophane 11 a $\left(20,6^{\circ}\right),{ }^{11}$ Tables of fractional atomic coordinates, isotropic thermal parameters, bonded atomic distances, bond angles, mean planes and torsion angles, and intermolecular distances, as well as the structure determination details, are given in the supplementary material.

## Isomerization of the syn- to anti-[2.2]Metacyclophanes

Despite the very limited quantities of material available, we felt it was sufficiently important to estimate the barrier to isomerization, even if only a few runs could be attempted. Since isomerization occurred at ambient temperatures, the syncyclophanes $1,27,50$, and complex 22 were prepared and purified at low temperatures, dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, and placed in the NMR probe, at whichever temperature was to be studied. The rate of reaction was then obtained from the integrals for the peaks corresponding to the protons indicated in Table III. Only enough material was available for three duplicate runs on each compound, and thus the results are not as accurate as a more comprehensive study might produce: However, they leave no doubt that $\Delta H^{*}$ and $\Delta G^{*}{ }_{298}$ for the isomerization of syn-[2.2]metacyclophanes to anti-[2.2]metacyclophanes are about 17-18 and 20-21 kcal/mol, respectively, and that chromium tricarbonyl complexation of one of the arene rings raises these values by about $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This "complexation stabilization" of the syn isomer relative to the anti isomer is presumeably a summation of the reduced repulsion between the syn rings on complexation, some charge-transfer stabilization in the complexed syn isomer, and increased strain in the transition state for the isomerization of the complexed syn isomer relative to the uncomplexed one.

Very recently, Ito ${ }^{35}$ has synthesized the dimethyl-syn-cyclophane 56 (compound 50 without bridge substituents) and studied its isomerization to 57 . He found at $298 \mathrm{~K} \Delta H^{*}=19.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, $\Delta S^{*}=-8.7 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \Delta G^{*}=22.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and $E_{\mathrm{a}}=20,0$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. These are in good agreement with our results.
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(35) Fujise, Y.; Nakasato, Y.; Ito, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2907-2908.


Figure 4. Possible isomerization pathways in the [2.2]metacyclophanes.
$E_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the isomerization of anti $\rightarrow$ anti, $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$, Figure 4 , has been estimated ${ }^{36}$ at $28.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, by studying the rates of racemization (ring inversion) of the optically active anti derivatives 58. The difference, $E_{\mathrm{a}}($ anti $)-E_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{syn})$ or $\Delta H^{*}$ (anti) $-\Delta H^{*}$ (syn) $=\sim 10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, suggests that $\Delta H^{\circ}$ for syn $\rightarrow$ anti is of this order. Molecular mechanics calculations (MM2+PI) by us ${ }^{37}$ and by Ito ${ }^{36}$ suggest the difference in strain energies between 1 and 2 is 6-7 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; however, such calculations do not take into account the through space $\pi-\pi$ interaction between the syn rings of 1 , and thus this difference is almost certainly underestimated, The actual mechanism of isomerization (Figure 4) of either $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}, S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$, or $S \rightarrow A$ is not known. The conversion $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ could proceed through a near planar form $P$. or through an angular form $G$ to the syn $S$, on through $G^{\prime}$ to $A^{\prime}$. Similarly $S \rightarrow A$ could proceed through $G$ without involvement of $P$. We have tried using molecular mechanics to drive the $\mathrm{Cl}-2-3-4$ dihedral angle of 1 to simulate $S \rightarrow G$ and find the strain energy increases by about 20-30 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ over the maximum, not inconsistent with the above results, However a more sophisticated approach is required to determine the appropriate pathways with any precision, and we hope that this work will act as encouragement.

## Experimental Section

General conditions are given in the supplementary material.
Stevens Rearrangement of syn-2,11-Dithia[3.3]metacyclophane Bis(methylsulfonium) Tetrafluoroborate 9, Potassium tert-butoxide (1.41 g. $12,6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred suspension of the sulfonium salt $9^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 125 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After $5-8 \mathrm{~min}$, water, aqueous HCl , and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated to yield the crude product (1.2 g. $95 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. This was preadsorbed onto and chromatographed over silica gel with use of pentane as eluant. Eluted first was 1 (e), 10-(e)-bis(methylthio) [2.2]metacyclophane $10 \mathrm{~d}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \%)$ as colorless needles: mp $156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 'H NMR $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 12,14), $7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}, 13), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5)$, 7.13 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,6$ ), 4.50 (s, 1 H, H-16), 4.20 (s, 1 H, H-8), 3.34-3.23 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,2_{\text {eq }}, 9 \mathrm{eq}, 10$ ), $2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.22-2.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 9_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 138.2-124.6$ (see Table II), 57.2 (C-1,10), 47.5 (C-2,9), $15.5\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; MS (Cl) $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 301$ (40), 205 (100).

[^10]Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 71.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 71.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.75$ Eluted next was 1(e),9(e)-bis(methylthio)[2.2]metacyclophane 10e $(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \%)$ as white needles from hexane: $\mathrm{mp} 162-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.64$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6,14), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H-5,13), 7.21 (dd, $J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,12$ ), 4.33 (d, $J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8,16$ ), 3.36-3.27 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,2 \mathrm{eq}, 9,10_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), 2.18-2.01 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 10_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 138.4-123.8$ (see Table 11), 57.4 (C-1,9), $47.1(\mathrm{C}-2,10), 15.5\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; MS (Cl) $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 301$ (4), 205 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 71.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71$. Found; C. 71.51 : H, 6.74 .

Eluted thirdly was 1 (e),9(a)-bis(methylthio) [2.2]metacyclophane 10a $(0.48 \mathrm{~g} .38 \%)$ as white needles from cyclohexane: $\mathrm{mp} 132-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ mp $132-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), 7.41$ (t. $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16), 4.35$ (dd, $J=$ $4.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9), 3.36-3.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,2_{\mathrm{eq}}, 10_{\mathrm{eq}}\right.$ ), 2.56 (dd, $J=$ $\left.13.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.18-2.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 138.4-123.8$ (see Table 11), $57.3(\mathrm{C}-1), 55.3(\mathrm{C}-9), 47.1(\mathrm{C}-2), 44.8(\mathrm{C}-10), 15.5,15.1\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (Cl) $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 301$ (28), 205 (100).

Eluted next was 1(a),9(a)-bis(methylthio)[2.2]metacyclophane 10c $(0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%)$ as colorless crystals from cyclohexane: $\mathrm{mp} 219-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{mp} 219-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{\text {'H NMR }} \delta 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5,13$ ), 7.21 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6,14$ ), 7.15 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,12$ ), 5.00 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8,16$ ), 4.37 (dd, $J=4.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,9$ ), 3.23 (dd, $\left.J=12.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\text {eq }}, 10_{\text {eq }}\right), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), \mathrm{I} .95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right.$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 134.9-126.4$ (see Table 11). $55.2(\mathrm{C}-1,9), 44.7(\mathrm{C}-2,10), 15.0\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e$ 301 (28), 205 (100).

Eluted finally was 1 (a), 10(a)-bis(methylthio) [2.2]metacyclophane 10b ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \%$ ) as white crystals from cyclohexane: $\mathrm{mp} 214-215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ $\mathrm{mp} 216-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.22-7.02$ (m, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,6,12,13,14\right), 5.50$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), $4.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,10), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-8$ ), 3.20 (dd, $J=14.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{eq}}, 9_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.55 (dd, $J=14,0$, $\left.4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 9_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$.

Attempted Wittig Rearrangement of Thiacyclophane Complex 15, Preparation of the Bridge Methylated Complex 16, ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}$-syn-3-Methyl-2,11-dithia[3.3]metacyclophane) tricarbonylchromium(0), $n$ - BuLi ( 59 mmol ) in hexane ( 0.24 mL ) was injected into a deaerated solution of complex $15^{14}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 20 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 min , methyl iodide ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added (the red color did not decolorize), followed by water, aqueous HCl , and dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was further extracted with dichloromethane, and the combined organic layers were dried and evaporated to an oily residue. This was chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1: 3$ ) as eluant to give the methylated complex 16 ( 21 mg , $20 \%$ ), which on recrystallization from dichloromethane gave yellow needles: mp $157-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.24-7.00$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ $14,15,16,18)$, $5.18-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5,6,7.9), 3.96$ and $3.70(\mathrm{AB}, J=15.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{qq}}$ ), 3.81 and $3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ each, $\left.\mathrm{H}-1_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{oq}}, 12_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{eq}}\right), 3.66(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI})$ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 423$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{CrO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 56.83 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.29. Found: C, 56.68 ; H, 4.18.

Bismethylation of the Bridge of Complex 15. Preparation of ( $\eta^{6}-s y n-$ 3,10-Dimethyl-2,11-dithia[3,3]metacyclophane) tricarbonylchromium(0) (20), Potassium tert-butoxide ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of complex $15^{14}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After 5 min , methyl iodide ( 0.3 mL , excess) was added, followed by water, aqueous HCl , and dichloromethane. The organic layer was separated, dried, and evaporated to an oil. This was chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane (1:3) as eluant to give the product 20 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ), which on recrystallization from dichloromethane/pentane (1:1) gave yellow needles: mp 162-164 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.27$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18$ ), 6.90 (bs, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14,15,16$ ), 5.50 (s, 1 H, H-9), $5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5,7), 4.75(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{l}$ H, H-6), 3.93 and $3.69\left(\mathrm{AB}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ each, $\left.\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}_{\text {ax,eq, }} 12_{\text {ax,eq }}\right), 3,62$ (q, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3,10$ ), $1.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{Cl})$ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 437$ (45). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CrO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 57.78 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.62. Found: C, $57.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.68$.
( $\eta^{6}$-syn-2,11-Dimethyl-2,11-dithionia[ 3,3]metacyclophane) tricarbonylchromium(0) Bis(tetrafluoroborate) (21) and Its Stevens Rearrangement to Syn-Complex 22, $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{CHBF}_{4}(2.21 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ of oil by NMR $)^{19}$ was added to a solution of $15^{14}(2.23 \mathrm{~g} .5 .5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in well deaerated dichloromethane ( 200 mL ) and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 3 h . The dichloromethane was decanted from the yellow precipitate, ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ) was added, and stirring was continued for an additional 2 h . The fine precipitate was then collected and dried under vacuum to give $21(3.00 \mathrm{~g} .90 \%), \mathrm{mp} 280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. This salt ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added to a suspension of $t$-BuOK ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 11.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ in dry THF ( 350
mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. This was stirred for 10 min , during which time the salt went into solution, the mixture was then poured onto ice, and aqueous $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 350 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the extract was washed, dried, and evaporated to a yellow oil. This was preadsorbed and chromatographed on silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1: 1$ ) as eluant, After small amounts of pyrene and colorless decomplexed product, the yellow anti isomer 23 ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 8.9 \%$ ) was eluted, which gave yellow needles from dichloromethane/pentane; mp $160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I}$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12)$, 6.01 (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16), 5.45(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5)$, 5.39 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 4.45 (dd, $J=4.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 3.46 (dd, $J$ $\left.=12.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 3.04-2.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{cq}}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}$. $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 2.34\left(\mathrm{t}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$, $2,19-2.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 233.3$ (CO), 137.6 and 137.5 (C-11,15), 135.4 (C-16), 130.2 and 128.2 and 126.9 (C-12,13,14), 110.5 and $104.8(\mathrm{C}-3,7), 96.3$ and $95.8(\mathrm{C}-4,5), 90.8$ (C-6), 86.9 (C-8), 54.6 and $54.0(\mathrm{C}-1,9), 47.6(\mathrm{C}-10), 42.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 15.4$ and $14.9\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; MS $(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 437$ (100), 204 (100); IR (KBr) 1960, $1850,660,615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CrO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 57.78$; H, 4.62. Found: C, 58.14; H, 4.65.

Eluted next was the syn isomer 22, ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}$-syn-2(a), 10(e)-bis(methylthio) [ 2.2 ]metacyclophane) tricarbonylchromium( 0 ) ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g} .71 .1 \%$ ) as orange crystals from dichloromethane/pentane: $\mathrm{mp} 121-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-13$ ), 6.91 (s. $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), 6.58 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), 5.50 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 4.76-4.66 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,6$ ), 4.42 (dd, $J=9,5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-9_{\mathrm{aq}}$ ), 3.84 (dd, $J=9.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 3.53 (dd, $J=14.2,9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 3.43 (dd, $J=14,3,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 2.98 (dd, $J$ $\left.=14.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\text {eq }}\right), 2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.26-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{oq}}$ ), $2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 233.6$ (CO), 138.8 (C-16), 138.0 (C-11,15?), 129.9 and 128.6 (C-12,13), 124.9 (C-14), 110.9 and $108.9(\mathrm{C}-3.7), 96.9$ and 95.1 and $92.6(\mathrm{C}-4,5,6), 84.5(\mathrm{C}-8), 51.7(\mathrm{C}-1)$, $49.6(\mathrm{C}-9), 44.3(\mathrm{C}-10), 41.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 16.5$ and $16.0\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; MS $(\mathrm{Cl})$ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 437$ (1), 301 (31), 205 (100); IR (KBr) 1950, 1860, 660, $620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }} 333 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon_{\text {max }}=10300\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CrO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 57.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.62$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 57.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.65$.

Thermal Isomerization of the Syn Chromium Complex 22 to AntiComplex 25. The syn-complex 22 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in $100 \%$ ethanol ( 20 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 3 h . The solvent was evaporated, and the product was recrystallized from dichloromethane/pentane (1:1) to yield anti-complex $\mathbf{2 5}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as yellow needles; mp 151-152 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13), 7.23$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14$ ), 7.18 (d, I H, $\mathrm{H}-12$ ), 5.92 (t, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), $5.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.45$ (d, 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.27(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 4.42\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 3.82$ (dd, $J=4.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), $3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 3.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), $2.89-2.82$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{eq}}, 10_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 2.31 ( dd , $\left.J=13.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ $\left.-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 233.2$ (CO), 136.6 and $134.0(\mathrm{C}-11,15), 136.0$ (C-16), 129.4 and 128.8 and 127.1 (C-12,13,14), 110.0 and 104.8 (C3,7), 97.8 and 95.3 and 95.2 (C-4,5.6), 84.7 (C-8), 53.9 (C-1), 52.9 (C-9), $46.1(\mathrm{C}-10), 42.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 16.0$ and $14.9\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{MH}^{+}$ at $m / e 437(20), 205(100) ; 1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1983,1960,1880,655,610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CrO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 57.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.62$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 57.34$; H, 4.71.

Decomplexation of Syn Chromium Complex 22 at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Followed by Isomerization at $+20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to anti-[2.2]Phane 10e. Ceric ammonium nitrate ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of syncomplex $22(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 20 mL ) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min , the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to a solid residue. This was preadsorbed and chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane as eluant to give the antt-phane 10e (68 $\mathrm{mg} 100 \%$ ) identical with the sample obtained above.

Complexation of anti-[ 2,2 Phane Isomer $\mathbf{1 0 e}$ To Yield ( $\eta^{6}$-anti-1-(e),10(e)-Bis(methylthio)[2.2]metacyclophane)tricarbonylchromium (0) (28). Chromium hexacarbonyl ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anti isomer 10 e ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were heated under reflux in well deaerated $n$-butyl ether ( 20 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 3-4 h. The solvent was then removed, and the residue was Soxhlet extracted with use of dichloromethane. The extract was evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1: 1$ ) as eluant to yield complex $28(100 \mathrm{mg} .70 \%)$ as yellow needles from dichloromethane/pentane: mp $180-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12) .5 .96$ (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6)$, 5.42 (t, $J=\sim 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 5.41$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), 5.28 (d, $J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 3.56\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 3.45$ (dd, $J=$ $\left.12.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 3.00$ (dd, $J=11.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\text {eq }}$ ), 2.96 , (dd, $J=12.3,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\text {eq }}$ ), $2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.77(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 233.1$ (CO), 138.4 (C-16), 137.8
and 136.9 (C-11,15), 131.2 and 128.4 (C-12.13), 124.4 (C-14), 111.1 and 108.0 (C-3,7), 94.8 and $94.0(\mathrm{C}-4,5), 90.4$ (C-6), 87.9 (C-8), 56.9 (C-1), $54.9(\mathrm{C}-9), 47.6(\mathrm{C}-10), 44.7(\mathrm{C}-2), 15.5\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e$ (2) 205(100); $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1960,1850,660,615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CrO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 57.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.62$. Found: C, $57.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.69$.

Low-Temperature Decomplexation of 22 to syn-2(a),10(e)-Bis(methylthio)[2,2]metacyclophane (27), Ceric ammonium nitrate ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8$ mmol ) was added to a stirred solution of syn-complex $22(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 60 mL ) maintained at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min and then directly poured on to a column of silica gel maintained below $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product was eluted with dichloromethane into a flask maintained at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and gave the product as a white solid $(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$; ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14)$, 6.76 (s, 1 H, H-16), 6.69 and $6.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ each, $\mathrm{H}-5,13)$, 6.44 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), 6.44 and 6.38 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ each, $\mathrm{H}-4,12), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, J=\sim 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9 \mathrm{eq}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 3.75 (dd, $J=14.0,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 3.37 (dd, $J=14.0$, $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 2.82 (dd, $J=13.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.35 (dd, $\left.J=14.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 138.1(\mathrm{C}-16), 137.8$ and 137.7 and 136.2 and $134.6(\mathrm{C}-4,5,6,12,13), 123.8(\mathrm{C}-14), 52.5$ and $51.9(\mathrm{C}-1,9), 44.0$ and $43.2(\mathrm{C}-2,10), 16.3$ and $16.0\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$.

Formation of $\left[(3,4,5,6,7,8)-\eta^{6}\right.$-anti-9(a)-(Methylthio)[2.2]metacyclo-phan-1-ene]tricarbonylchromium ( 0 ) ( 30 ) from ( $\eta^{6}$-syn-1,9-Bis(dimethylthionia) [2,2]metacyclophane)tricarbonylchromium(0) Bis(tetrafluoroborate) (29). Salt 29: (MeO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CHBF}_{4}{ }^{19}(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ of $80 \%$ oil) was added to a stirred solution of complex $22(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ). The mixture was stirred under $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ for a further 6 h . The solvent was then decanted, ethyl acetate was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 4 h . The solid product was then collected by filtration and dried to give salt $29(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as a fine yellow powder: $\mathrm{mp} 240^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1980,1910,1040,630,610$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Monoene 30: This salt ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in dry THF ( 50 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and $t$-BuOK ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 10 min , aqueous HCl was added, followed by ether ( 60 mL ). The organic layer was separated, washed, dried, and evaporated. The crude product was then preadsorbed and chromatographed on silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane (1:1) as eluant to yield monoene $30(43 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%)$ as red needles from dichloromethane/pentane: mp $157-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.35-7.10$ (m, 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12,13,14), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16)$, $6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mid \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2), 5.50-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,6), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9 \mathrm{eq}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.80 (dd, $J=13.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ) 2.12 (s, 3 H , $\left.-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 233.1$ (CO), 137.3 and $135.6(\mathrm{C}-1,2), 133.4$ and 133.3 (C-11,15), 129.8 (C-16), 128.8 and 127.2 (C-12,13), 126.6 (C-14), 111.6 and 106.8 (C-3.7), 95.6 and 94.9 (C-5.6), 91.9 (C-4), 83,9 (C-8), $54.0(\mathrm{C}-9), 47.0(\mathrm{C}-10), 15.1\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; MS (El) $\mathrm{M}^{++}$at $m / e 388$ (11), 304 (46), 301 (31), 202 ( 100 ); IR ( KBr ) 1950, 1870, $650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{CrO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \quad \mathrm{M}=388.0225$. Found (MS): 388.0263,

In an identical way, complex 23 was converted to salt $\mathbf{3 2}$ and then to monoene 33.
( $\eta^{6}$-anti-[2,2]Metacyclophane-1,9-diene)tricarbonylchromium(0) (34) from Syn Salt 29, $t$-BuOK ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of salt $29(320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 25 mL ) and $t$-BuOH ( 25 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min . Then aqueous HCl was added to the mixture, followed by ether ( 60 mL ). The organic layer was separated, washed, dried, and evaporated. The crude product was preadsorbed and chromatographed on silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1: 4$ ) as eluant to yield the diene complex 34 ( 51 $\mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) as red needles from dichloromethane/pentane; mp 138-139 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13), 6.91$ (dd, $J=7.3, \mathrm{I} .3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12,14), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,10), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2,9), 5,69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-8), 5.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,6)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 133.9 (C-11,15), 129.7 (C-16), 127.7 (C-12,14), 127.6 (C-13), 108.7 (C-3.7), 94.5 (C-4.6), 91.3 (C-5), 83.7 (C-8); MS (Cl) MH ${ }^{+}$at $m / e 341$ (100); $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1970,1890,1860,650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{CrO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 67.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.55$. Found: C, 66.86; H, 3.72.

Reductive Removal of the Methylthio Groups from Syn Isomer 22, Formation of Anti-Complexed Phane 24. A solution of syn isomer 22 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 6 mL , dried over potassium $/$ benzophenone ketyl) was added to anhydrous ammonia ( 25 mL ), followed by $\mathrm{Li}(12 \mathrm{mg}$. 1.6 mmol ). After stirring for 2 min , the mixture was poured into water. The mixture was then extracted with ether, and the extract was washed, dried, and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1: 3$ ) as eluant. Eluted first was anti-[2.2]metacyclophane 2 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ). Eluted next was unreduced
anti product 10 e ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ), Eluted lastly was complexed anti-phane $24(31 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%)$, identical with a sample prepared by the method of Langer and Leher. $3^{38} \mathrm{mp} 162-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{38} \mathrm{mp} 165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.39$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=8,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13$ ), $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12,14), 5.47$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ) , $5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,6), 3.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1_{\text {eq }}, 10_{\text {eq }}\right), 2.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\text {eq }},{ }_{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{ax}}, 10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 9_{\mathrm{ax}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 233.4$ (CO), 138.7 (C-16), 138.1 (C-11.15), 130.7 (C-13), 126.4 (C12,14), 113.4 (C-3.7), 94.7 (C-5), 91.6 (C-4.6), 89.8 (C-8), 40.4 (C1,10 ), 38,6 ( $\mathrm{C}-2,9$ ).

Reduction of Uncomplexed syn-Phane 27 To Give Hexahydropyrene 36, syn-Phane 27 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 6 mL , freshly distilled from potassium/benzophenone ketyl) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added to anhydrous ammonia ( 25 mL ), followed by sodium ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 2 min and was then poured into water. The organic fraction was extracted with ether, dried, and concentrated to yield $4,5,9,10,10 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{c}$-hexahydropyrene ( 36 ) ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 196-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 5.45$ (bs, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,3,6,8$ ), 2.72 (bs, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2.9$ ), 2.45-2.20 and $2.20-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,9,10,10 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{c}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 136.7$ (C3a,5a,8a,10a), 116.8 (C-1,3,6,8), 47.3 (C-10b,10c), 35.9 (C-4,5,9,10), 27.2 (C-2,7): iR (KBr) 2900, 1660, $950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (El) M ${ }^{\bullet+}$ at $m / e 210$ (100), 182 (62), 167 (84). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18}: M=210.1408$. Found (MS): $M=210.1460$.
( $\eta^{6}, \eta^{6}$-syn-2,11-Dimethyl-2,11-dithionia[3,3]metacyclophane)bis(tricarbonylchromium(0)) Bis(tetrafluoroborate) (39), ( MeO$)_{2} \mathrm{CHBF}_{4}(0,92$ g, $4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ of oil by NMR$)^{19}$ was added to a refluxing solution of $13^{14}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 70 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After 3 h of reflux, ethyl acetate ( 70 mL ) was added and reflux continued for a further 1 h . The salt was then collected, washed well with ethyl acetate, and dried under vacuum to yield $39(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as a yellow powder: $\mathrm{mp} 270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1950,1870,1080,1040,660,615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Stevens Rearrangement of Sulfonium Salt 39. The salt $39(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.60$ mmol ), directly as prepared above, was added to a stirred suspension of KOBu-t ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 125 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min , the reaction mixture was poured into water, acidified with aqueous HCl and then extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed, dried, and evaporated to an orange oil, which was preadsorbed and chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1 ; 2$ ) as eluant. Eluted first was the uncomplexed anti-cyclophane 10 e ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ), identical with sample above. Eluted next was a mixture of the monocomplexed syn-phanes 22 and 42, which could not be separated. Isomer 22 was identified by comparison of its 'H NMR peaks with authentic sample, and 42, having a deshielded ring proton at $\delta 5.20$ and an internal proton at $\delta 4.80$ was identified by subtraction. Eluted next was the biscomplexed syn isomer 40, ( $\eta^{6}, \eta^{6}$-syn-2(a),10-(e)-bis(methylthio) [2.2]metacyclophane)-bis-tricarbonylchromium(0) ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ): $\operatorname{dec} \mathrm{pt} 210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 5.72$ (s. $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 5.55 (d, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), 5.14-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,6,12,13,16), 4.09$ (dd, $J=13,6-7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9), 3.92$ (dd, $J=13,7-8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 3.53$ (dd, $J=16,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\text {eq }}$ ), $3.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=17,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right.$ ), 2.56 (dd, $J=17,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\infty}$ ), 2.36 (dd, $J=17,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$ : ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 232.7$ and $232.6(\mathrm{CO}), 111.6$ and 110.5 and 108.0 and 107.9 (C-3,7.11,15), 96.7 and 95.4 and 95.0 and 94.2 and 92.3 (C-4,5,6,12,13), $91.0(\mathrm{C}-14)$, 86.1 (C-16), 84.3 (C-8), 49.1 and 49.0 (C-1,9), 42.0 and 41.5 (C-2,10), 16.5 and $16.4\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$ : $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{Cl}), \mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 573$ (absent), 437 (MH $\left.-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right): I \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1950,1870,650,600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, $50.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.52$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 50.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.69$.

Eluted last was the diaxial isomer $41(36 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \%)$ : dec pt $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 5.59$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8,16$ ), $5.55-4.83$ (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,6,12,13,14$ ), 3.97-3.32 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,2_{\mathrm{eq}}, 9,10_{\text {eq }}$ ), 2.44-2.61 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 10_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 2.32 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 573(<5), 205(100)$; IR (KBr) 1970, 1870, 650, $610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 50.35 ; H, 3.52. Found: C, 50.67, H, 3.70.
( $\eta^{6}, \eta^{6}$-syn-2(a),10(e)-Bis(dimethylthionia)[2,2]metacyclophane)bis(tricarbonylchromium)(0)) Bis(tetrafluoroborate) (43), (MeO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CHBF}_{4}$ $\left(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ as $80 \%$ of oil by NMR) ${ }^{19}$ was added to a stirred, refluxing solution of syn-bis-complex $40^{14}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After 6 h , reflux was stopped, and the solvent was decanted away. Ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) was then added, and the mixture was stirred for a further 4 h . The salt was then collected by filtration as a yellow powder ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ), dec pt $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: 1 \mathrm{R}$ ( KBr ) 1960, 1890, 1080, 1030, 650, $610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

Hofmann Elimination of Bis(salt) 43 To Give ( $\eta^{6}, \eta^{6}$-syn-[2,2]Meta-cyclophane-1,9-diene)bis(tricarbonylchromium)(0) (44). KOBu-t (57 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred suspension of salt $43(150 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{HOBu}-t(1: 1,25 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After

[^11]10 min , aqueous HCl was added, followed by ether ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was washed, dried, concentrated and then preadsorbed and chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane (1:1) as eluant to yield diene 44 ( $24 \mathrm{mg} .29 \%$ ) as a red solid. Recrystallization from dichloromethane/pentane (1:1) gave red needles: mp 167 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.01$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,2,9,10$ ), 5.98 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8,16$ ) $5.15-5.04$ (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,6,12,13,14$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 232.6$ (CO), 135.4 (C-1,2,9,10), 106.0 (C-3,7,11,15), 94.8 (C-5,13), 93.5 (C-4,6,12,14), 83.3 (C-8,16); MS (Cl) $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 477$ (6), 391 (100), 205 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: ~ M=475.944$. Found (MS): 475.990.

Reductive removal of one-SMe group from bis-complex 40 to yield [ $\eta^{6}, \eta^{6}-s y n-2$ (e)(methylthio) [2.2]metacyclophane] bis(tricarbonylchromi$\mathrm{um})(0)(47)$. A solution of $40(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 8 mL ) was added to anhydrous ammonia ( 25 mL ), and $\mathrm{Li}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 7$ equiv) was added with stirring. The reaction was quenched 60 s after it turned dark blue by pouring onto ice. It was then extracted with ether. The organic extract was washed, dried, concentrated and then preadsorbed and chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1: 1$ ) as eluant to yield mono-SMe bis-complex 47 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ): dec pt $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 5.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), 5.14-4.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,5,6,8,12,13,16$ ), 4.01 (dd, $J=9.9,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}), 3.56$ (dd, $J=14.4,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), $3.01-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9,10), 2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), $2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{MH}^{+}$at $m / e 527$ (6), 391 (100); 1 R (KBr) 1950, 1875, 650, $610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 52.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.45$. Found: C, 52.66; H, 3.78.
( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}$-syn-[2.2]Metacyclophane)bis(tricarbonylchromium)(0) (48), A solution of diaxial isomer 41 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in freshly distilled dry THF ( 5 mL ) was added to anhydrous ammonia ( 20 mL ), and then Li ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 7$ equiv) was added with stirring. The reaction was quenched 20 $s$ after it turned dark blue by pouring onto ice. Ether was added, and the organic extract was washed, dried, concentrated and then preadsorbed and chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane (1:1) as eluant. This gave the syn-bis-complexed cyclophane 48 ( 16 $\mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ), which on recrystallization from dichloromethane/pentane (1:1) gave yellow crystals: mp $198-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 5.12(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5,13), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8,16), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,6,12,14), 3.01-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1,2,9,10)$; MS (Cl) MH ${ }^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ $345\left(\mathrm{MH}-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{M}=479.9757$. Found (MS): 479.9740.

Low-Temperature Removal of Chromium from 48 To Give syn-[2,2]Metacyclophane 1, Ceric ammonium nitrate ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of $48(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0,02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and then was directly poured over a column of silica gel maintained below $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product was eluted with dichloromethane into a flask maintained at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Removal of solvent below $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ left 1 as a white solid ( 4 mg , $100 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8,16), 6.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5.13$ ), 6.41 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,6,12,14$ ), 3.20-3.07 (m, 4 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {eq }}, 2_{\text {eq }}, 9_{\mathrm{eq}}, 10_{e q}$ ), 2.99-2.86 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ax}}, 2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 9_{\mathrm{ax}}, 10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right)$; UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }} 260 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon=360)$.
( $\eta^{6}$-syn-2,6,11,15-Tetramethyl-2,11-dithionia[3.3]metacyclophane)tricarbonylchromium(0) Bis(tetrafluoroborate) (52), ( MeO$)_{2} \mathrm{CHBF}_{4}$ ( 1.16 g. 5.7 mmol , as $80 \%$ oil by NMR) ${ }^{19}$ was added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a stirred solution of dimethyl monocomplex $51^{14}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane. After 6 h , the solvent was decanted away, ethyl acetate ( 60 mL ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for a further 4 h . The solid salt was then collected by filtration and dried as a fine yellow powder (52) ( $1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ); dec pt $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{IR}$ ( KBr ) $1980,650,610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Stevens Rearrangement of Salt 52 To Give [ $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}$-syn-6,15-Dimethyl-2-(a),10(e)-bis (methylthio)[2,2]metacyclophane]tricarbonylchromium (0) (53), KOBu-t ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred suspension of salt $52(1.2 \mathrm{~g} .1 .9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(125 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After 10 min , water, aqueous HCl , and dichloromethane were added. The organic layer was separated, washed, dried, and concentrated to a yellow oil $(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$. This was preadsorbed and chromatographed over silica gel with use of dichloromethane/pentane ( $1 ; 3$ ) as eluant. Eluted first was the desired syn isomer 53 ( $0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ); mp 132-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 'H NMR $\delta 7.00$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), 6.70 and 6.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ each, $\mathrm{H}-$ 12,14), 5.29 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 4.63 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,6$ ), 4.38 (dd, $J=9.6,6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 3.87 (dd, $J=9.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ), $3.51-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}, 10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.27-2.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), $2.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-13-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.20$ and 2.17 and $1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ each, $\mathrm{C}-5-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ ); MS (El), $\mathrm{M}^{++}$at $m / e 464$ (21), 380 (90), 282 (100); IR (KBr) 1950, 1860, 660, $620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Eluted next was an uncharacterized anti isomer ( $90 \mathrm{mg} .10 \%$ ), internal hydrogens at $\delta 5.7$ and 3.2.

Low-Temperature Removal of Chromium from 53 To Give syn-6,15-Dimethyl-2(a),10(e)-bis(methylthio) [2,2]metacyclophane (50), Ceric ammonium nitrate ( 1.78 g .3 .3 mmol ) was added to a stirred solution of $53(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min ,
the mixture was poured directly over a column of silica gel maintained below $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the product was eluted with dichloromethane into a flask held at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Removal of solvent below $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the di-methyl-syn-cyclophane 50 ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ ) as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 6.79,6.74,6.50,6.27,6.24,6.19(6 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ each, H$4,6,8,12,14,16$ ), 4.37 (dd, $J=9.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9), 4.13$ (dd, $J=9.5$, $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 3.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), 2.78 (dd, $J=13.6,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2_{\text {eq }}$ ), $2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-10_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ), 2.19 and 2.08 and 2.01 and 1.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ each, $\mathrm{C}-5-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}$ -$13-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, and $-\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ 's).
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# Total Syntheses of ( + )- and ( - )-Didemnenones A and B. Antiselectivity in the Intramolecular Carbomercuration 

 Reaction ${ }^{1 a}$Craig J, Forsyth*, ${ }^{\text {1b }}$ and Jon Clardy<br>Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-1301, Received August 14, 1989


#### Abstract

Total syntheses of the title compounds were achieved in 11 steps and ca. $7 \%$ overall yield from the chiral synthon 10. In conjunction with previous chiroptical studies, this work allowed the assignment of absolute configurations to didemnenones A-D (1-4, respectively), a series of cytotoxic cyclopentanoid marine natural products isolated from the tunicates Trididemnum cf. cyanophorum and Didemnum voeltzkowi. Thus, 1 and 2 were shown to have the $2 R, 6 R$ configuration; 3 was shown to have the $2 S, 6 S$, and 4 , most plausibly, the $2 S, 6 R$ configurations. Featured in the syntheses are an efficient 1,3 chirality transfer to establish the C 2 configuration, one-pot mercuric chloride induced intramolecular cyclization/iodination reactions of an $\varepsilon$-alkynyl silyl enol ether to form a cis-6-oxabicyclo[3.3.0]oct-3-en-2-one system bearing an exocyclic C8-vinyl iodide and an installation of the Cll oxidation level and diene moiety by sequential $\mathrm{SeO}_{2} / t-\mathrm{BuOOH}$ oxidation and Pd -mediated vinyl cross-coupling with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCHCH}_{2}$, In examining the intramolecular carbomercurations of cyclopentenone silyl enol ethers bearing $\beta$-(2-propynyloxy) side chains, an apparently exclusive and unexpected antiselectivity was revealed.


The didemnid tunicates have proven to be a particularly rich source of structurally diverse, biologically potent compounds including the depsipeptides didemnins $A-C,{ }^{2}$ heteroaromatic ascididemin, ${ }^{3}$ and didemnenones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{D},{ }^{4}$ The didemnenones are a series of at least four $C_{11}$ cyclopentanoid natural products that have recently been isolated from the Caribbean tunicate Trididemnum cf. cyanophorum, didemnenones A (1) and B (2), and the South Pacific tunicate Didemnum voeltzkowi, didemnenones C (3) and D (4). The didemnenones display a rich abundance and variety of functionality; every carbon atom in these compounds is functionalized. In addition to their intriguing structural features, the broad-range antimicrobial and antileukemic activities displayed by the didemnenones ${ }^{4}$ make them ideal synthetic targets. Reported herein are the full details of our synthetic studies on didemnenones A and B, ${ }^{5}$ which culminated in their enantioselective total syntheses and the assignment of the absolute configurations to didemnenones A-D depicted in 1-4,

Isolated along with didemnenones A and B from $T$. cf. cyanophorum extracts were the anomeric acetals 5 and 6 , which, rather than being natural products, are believed to have been formed from the inseparable hemiacetals 1 and 2 upon chromatography in the presence of methanol. The structure determination
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5

$1 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}$
$2 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$6 R_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3} . R_{2}=H$
$7 R_{1}, R_{2}=0$


3



4



8
of major acetal 5 by X-ray crystallography proved to be instrumental to the elucidation of the relative stereostructures of 1-6 through spectral correlations and chemical interconversions. In particular, allylic oxidations of 1-4 provided pivotal correlations
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